Prolog to Lecture 14 CS 236 On-Line MS Program Networks and Systems Security Peter Reiher

Secure Software and the Law

- Almost all software comes with no guarantees
 - -Much less security guarantees
- If it's hacked, too bad
 - -No liability to software creator
- Similarly, little or no liability for running insecure systems

Should That Change?

- What if software companies had to warrantee their software?
 - -With liability if it failed in certain ways
- That would change their behavior
- But would it make the world safer?

How Would We Do This?

- Has to be something companies can realistically do
 - -Meet standards

CS 236 Online

- -Use approved tools
- -Stand up to specified testing
- Can't just be, "you're hacked, you pay"
- Rules must be clear and consistent

Standards Approaches

- We'll talk about security standards in a future lecture
- But, briefly, hard to set comprehensive standards
- Standards don't cover all problems
- Who sets the standards?

Use Approved Tools

• Languages, development environments, testing tools

-E.g., "can't use C"

• Would likely get rid of lots of problems

-But certainly not all

• Easy to write insecure code with good tools

CS 236 Online

Specified Testing Approaches

- Independent groups run tests of software
- In pre-specified ways
- Presumably, same tests used for everyone
 - –What would those tests be?
- Doesn't take into account new attacks

And What About Open Source?

- Would liability apply to open source code?
- If so, who would ever release open source?
- Or even non-open hobbyist code?
- Generally, would this limit software development to big companies?

How Would Liability Work?

- Would it require lawsuits?
- What level of proof would be required?
- What would constitute damages, of what size?
- Could you ever successfully sue Microsoft or another big company?