Styles of Intrusion Detection

- Misuse intrusion detection
 - Try to detect things known to be bad
- Anomaly intrusion detection
 - Try to detect deviations from normal behavior
- Specification intrusion detection
 - Try to detect deviations from defined "good states"

Misuse Detection

- Determine what actions are undesirable
- Watch for those to occur
- Signal an alert when they happen
- Often referred to as *signature detection*

Level of Misuse Detection

- Could look for specific attacks
 E.g., SYN floods or IP spoofing
- But that only detects already-known attacks
- Better to also look for known suspicious behavior
 - Like trying to become root
 - Or changing file permissions

How Is Misuse Detected?

- By examining logs
 Only works after the fact
- By monitoring system activities
 Often hard to trap what you need to see
- By scanning the state of the system
 Can't trap actions that don't leave traces
- By sniffing the network
 - For network intrusion detection systems

Pluses and Minuses of Misuse Detection

- + Few false positives
- + Simple technology
- + Hard to fool
 - At least about things it knows about
- Only detects known problems
- Gradually becomes less useful if not updated
- Sometimes signatures are hard to generate

Misuse Detection and Commercial Systems

- Essentially all commercial intrusion detection systems primarily detect misuse
 – Generally using signatures of attacks
- Many of these systems are very similar
 - Differing only in details
- Differentiated primarily by quality of their signature library
 - -How large, how quickly updated

Anomaly Detection

- Misuse detection can only detect known problems
- And many potential misuses can also be perfectly legitimate
- Anomaly detection instead builds a model of valid behavior

-And watches for deviations

Methods of Anomaly Detection

- Statistical models
 - User behavior
 - Program behavior
 - Overall system/network behavior
- Expert systems
- Pattern matching of various sorts
- Misuse detection and anomaly detection sometimes blur together

Pluses and Minuses of Anomaly Detection

- + Can detect previously unknown attacks
- + Not deceived by trivial changes in attack
- Hard to identify and diagnose nature of attacks
- Unless careful, may be prone to many false positives
- Depending on method, can be expensive and complex

Anomaly Detection and Academic Systems

- Most academic research on IDS in this area
 - More interesting problems
 - Greater promise for the future
 - Increasingly, misuse detection seems inadequate
- But few really effective systems currently use it
 - Not entirely clear that will ever change
 - What if it doesn't?

Specification Detection

- Define some set of states of the system as good
- Detect when the system is in a different state
- Signal a problem if it is

How Does This Differ From Misuse and Anomaly Detection?

- Misuse detection says that certain things are bad
- Anomaly detection says deviations from statistically normal behavior are bad
- Specification detection defines exactly what is good and calls the rest bad

Some Challenges

- How much state do you have to look at?
 Typically dealt with by limiting
 - observation to state relevant to security
 - Easy to underestimate that . . .
- How do you specify a good state?
- How often do you look?
 - Might miss attacks that transiently change the state

Protocol Anomaly Detection

- Really a form of specification intrusion detection
- Based on precise definitions of network protocols
- Can easily detect deviations
- Incorporated into some commercial systems

-E.g., Snort and Checkpoint

Pluses and Minuses of Specification Detection

- + Allows formalization of what you're looking for
- + Limits where you need to look
- + Can detect unknown attacks
- Only effective when one can specify correct state
- Based on locating right states to examine
- Maybe attackers can do what they want without changing from a "good" state